

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,084	WILLIAMS, KEVIN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Renata McCloud	2837	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) ____

 (2) Albert Deaver.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2005
Time: 4:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the limitation "common" needed to be cancelled from the claims, since it was not a structural limitation. The examiner also indicated that claims 3-20 should be cancelled since prosecution was closed under Ex parte Quayle. Att. Deaver agreed to the changes by examiner's amendment.